

FEM Homework Report

Yue Wu*

September 7, 2022

1 Introduction

Make a program to solve the two-point boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = f, & 0 < x < 1 \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Use an equidistant mesh and a piecewise linear polynomial space V_h as the finite element space. Use $f(x) = -2 \cos x + (x - 1) \sin x$, $u(x) = (x - 1) \sin x$ to test your program, and compute the following errors:

$$\|u - u_h\|_{L^2[0,1]}, \quad \|u - u_h\|_{H^1[0,1]} \quad (2)$$

2 Method

We use the following equidistant mesh:

$$x_j = jh, j = 0, 1, \dots, N + 1, \quad h = \frac{1}{N + 1}, \quad I_j = [x_j, x_{j+1}] \quad (3)$$

and we use the following finite element space:

$$V_h = \{v \in C[0, 1] \mid v|_{I_j} \in \mathcal{P}^1(I_j), j = 1, \dots, N + 1, v(0) = v(1) = 0\} \quad (4)$$

The Galerkin approximation to the problem using approximation space V_h is given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{find } u_h \in V_h, \text{ such that:} \\ &\forall v_h \in V_h, (u'_h, v'_h) = (f, v_h) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

For every function $v_h \in V_h$, we use the nodal representation as the following:

$$v_h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N v_h(x_j) \phi_j(x) \quad (6)$$

*School of the Gifted Young, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, China.
E-mail: pilotjohnwu@mail.ustc.edu.cn

then the discrete Galerkin system becomes:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f} \quad (7)$$

where:

$$\mathbf{A} = ((\phi'_i, \phi'_j))_{N \times N} \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbf{u} = (u_h(x_i))_{N \times 1} \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{f} = ((f, \phi_i))_{N \times 1} \quad (10)$$

To approximate integrals of non-polynomial functions **in computing the right-hand-side term \mathbf{f}** , we apply the 2-point Gauss-Legendre quadrature rule **in both cases**.

To approximate integrals of non-polynomial functions **in computing the errors**, we apply the 2-point Gauss-Legendre quadrature rule and the 2-point Gauss-Lobatto quadrature rule **respectively**.

Here, we give the 2-point Gauss-Legendre quadrature rule and the 2-point Gauss-Lobatto quadrature rule:

$$\int_{-1}^1 f(x)dx \approx f\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) + f\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \quad (11)$$

$$\int_{-1}^1 f(x)dx \approx f(-1) + f(1) \quad (12)$$

3 Results

We use $N = 10, 20, 40, 80$ DOFs for computation. By running the scripts in `main.m`, the outputs from the `matlab` program we coded are given as following:

N	L^2 error	order	H^1 error	order
10	1.2857e-03	-	4.9020e-02	-
20	3.5276e-04	2.0000	2.5666e-02	1.0007
40	9.2545e-05	2.0000	1.3144e-02	1.0002
80	2.3711e-05	2.0000	6.6532e-03	1.0000

Table 1: Table of error and order under different norms using Gauss-Legendre rule

N	L^2 error	order	H^1 error	order
10	2.3122e-08	-	8.4844e-02	-
20	1.7400e-09	4.0006	4.4446e-02	0.9999
40	1.1974e-10	4.0002	2.2766e-02	1.0000
80	7.8678e-12	3.9986	1.1523e-02	1.0000

Table 2: Table of error and order under different norms using Gauss-Lobatto rule

4 Discussion

From this experiment, we verified the theoretical 2-nd order convergence under the L^2 norm and 1-st order convergence under the H^1 norm numerically. In the algorithm, we used the 2-point Gauss-Legendre quadrature rule to approximate integration of non-polynomial functions in the right-hand-side. This does not cause the convergence order to degenerate since the quadrature is 4-th order accurate.

There is an interesting fact that from the error table resulting from different quadrature rules, we observe significantly different convergence rates in the L^2 norm. This is a typical phenomenon of the superconvergence theory of finite element methods: the numerical solution approximates the mathematical solution better at some specific points (superconvergent point) than anywhere else. In fact, the 4-th order L^2 convergence here verifies the superconvergence at cell boundaries instead of the true L^2 convergence.